

Judging Session Feedback

Team Name

Instructions

This sheet should be used to record written feedback following the Innovation Project presentation and Robot Design explanation. The *FIRST*[®] **Core Values** are the lens through which judges watch the team's presentations and evaluate their progress.

The rubrics and feedback page will be returned to teams at the end of the event.

Team #

Great job	Think about			
Core Values – How did the team demonstrate teamwork, discovery, inclusion, innovation, impact, and fun in their work?				
Innovation Project – How did the team identify and approach solving a problem connected to the season theme?				
Robot Design – How did the team approach solving robot game missions using building and coding?				

If the team is a candidate for one of these awards, please tick the appropriate box. Check with your event organizer which optional awards your event uses.

Breakthrough Award	A team that made significant progress in their confidence and capability in at least one of the core areas of <i>FIRST</i> LEGO League.
Rising All-Star	A team that the judges notice and expect great things from in the future.
Motivate	A team that embraces the culture of <i>FIRST</i> LEGO League through team building, team spirit, and enthusiasm.



Innovation Project

Team#	Team Name	Judging Room
(

Instructions

Teams should communicate to the judges their achievement in each of the following criteria. This rubric should be filled out according to the Innovation Project presentation.

Judges are **required** to tick one box on each separate row to indicate the level the team has achieved. If the team **EXCEEDS**, a short comment in the exceeds column is required.

BEGINNING 1	DEVELOPING 2	ACCOMPLISHED 3	EXCEEDS 4			
			How has the team exceeded?			
IDENTIFY – Team had a clearly defined problem that was well researched.						
Unclear definition of the problem	Partially clear definition of the problem	Clear definition of the problem				
Minimal evidence of research	Partial evidence of research from one or more sources	Clear, detailed research from a variety of sources				
DESIGN – Team worked together while creating a project plan and developing their ideas.						
Minimal evidence of an effective project plan	Partial evidence of an effective project plan	Clear evidence of an effective project plan				
Minimal evidence that development process involved all team members	Partial evidence that development process involved all team members	Clear evidence that development process involved all team members				
CREATE – Team developed an or	iginal idea or built on an existing one w	vith a prototype model/drawing to repre-	sent their solution.			
Minimal explanation of innovation in solution	Simple explanation of innovation in solution	Detailed explanation of innovation in solution				
Unclear model/drawing that represents the solution	Simple model/drawing that represents the solution	Detailed model/drawing that represents the solution				
ITERATE – Team shared their ideas with others, collected feedback, and included improvements to their solution.						
Minimal sharing of their solution with others	Solution shared with at least one person/group	Solution shared with multiple people/groups				
Minimal evidence of improvements based on feedback	Partial evidence of improvements based on feedback	Clear evidence of improvements based on feedback				
COMMUNICATE – Team shared an effective presentation of their solution, its impact on others, and celebrated their team's progress.						
Unclear explanation of the solution and its potential impact on others	Partially clear explanation of solution and its potential impact on others	Clear explanation of solution and its potential impact on others				
Presentation shows minimal pride or enthusiasm for their work	Presentation shows partial pride or enthusiasm for their work	Presentation clearly shows pride or enthusiasm for their work				

Criteria on this page with this style of check box count dually toward Innovation Project and Core Values awards rankings



Robot Design

Team Name

Instructions

Teams should communicate to the judges their achievement in each of the following criteria. This rubric should be filled out according to the Robot Design explanation.

Team #

Judges are **required** to tick one box on each separate row to indicate the level the team has achieved. If the team **EXCEEDS**, a short comment in the exceeds column is required.

BEGINNING 1	DEVELOPING 2	ACCOMPLISHED 3	EXCEEDS 4		
			How has the team exceeded?		
IDENTIFY – Team determined which missions to attempt, explored building and coding resources, and sought guidance as needed.					
Minimal evidence of mission strategy	Partial evidence of mission strategy	Clear evidence of mission strategy			
Minimal use of building or coding resources	Some use of building or coding resources	Clear use of building or coding resources to support their mission strategy			
DESIGN – Team members worked collaboratively on their designs and developed the building and coding skills needed.					
Minimal evidence that all team members contributed ideas	Partial evidence that all team members contributed ideas	Clear evidence that all team members contributed ideas			
Minimal evidence of building and coding skills in all team members	Partial evidence of building and coding skills in all team members	Clear evidence of building and coding skills in all team members			
CREATE – Team developed original designs or improved on existing ones according to their mission strategy.					
Unclear explanation of attachments and their purpose	Simple explanation of attachments and their purpose	Clear explanation of innovative attachments and their purpose			
Unclear explanation of code and/or sensor use	Simple explanation of code and/or sensor use	Clear explanation of innovative code and/or sensor use			
ITERATE – Team repeatedly tested their robot and code to identify areas for improvement and incorporated the findings into their solutions.					
Minimal evidence of testing their robot and code	Partial evidence of testing their robot and code	Clear evidence of repeated testing of their robot and code			
Minimal evidence of improvements based on testing	Partial evidence of improvements based on testing	Clear evidence of improvements based on testing			
COMMUNICATE – Team effectively explained what they learned from the robot design process and celebrated their progress.					
Orclear explanation of process and lessons learned	Simple explanation of process and lessons learned	Detailed explanation of process and lessons learned	<u>نې</u>		
Team shows minimal pride or enthusiasm for their work	Team shows partial pride or enthusiasm for their work	Team clearly shows pride or enthusiasm for their work			

Criteria on this page with this style of check box count dually toward Robot Design and Core Values awards rankings